

#### Joint NICER/IXPE workshop 2024 • Washington

### **Rapid dimming** followed by a **state transition**: a study of the **highly variable** nuclear transient AT 2019avd over 1000 days

In collaboration with: Dheeraj R. Pasham, Diego Altamirano, Andres Gurpide, Noel Castro Segura, Matthew Middleton, Long Ji, Santiago del Palacio, Muryel Guolo, Poshak Gandhi, Shuang-Nan Zhang, Ronald Remillard, Dacheng Lin, Megan Masterson, Ranieri D. Baldi, Francesco Tombesi, Jon M. Miller, Wenda Zhang and Andrea Sanna

#### 2024/07/31

#### Yanan Wang (NAOC)





## State transitions occurring in TDEs

*Joint NICER/IXPE workshop 2024* • *Washington* 

Rapid dimming followed by a state transition: a study of the highly varia nuclear transient AT 2019avd over 1000 days

> In collaboration with: Dheeraj R. Pasham, Diego Altamirano, Andres Gurpide, Noel Castro Segura, Matthew Middleton, Long Ji, Santiago del Palacio, Muryel Guolo, Poshak Gandhi, Shuang-Nan Zhang, Ronald Remillard, Dacheng Lin, Megan Masterson, Ranieri D. Baldi, Francesco Tombesi, Jon M. Miller, Wenda Zhang and Andrea Sanna

### 2024/07/31

#### Yanan Wang (NAOC)



## State transitions in BHXRBs





## Tidal disruption events (TDEs)

#### Hill (1975); Rees (1988)







AT 2022cmc, Eftekhari+ (submitted)

Swift J1112,  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 2 - 5 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ , Brown+ (2015) AT 2022cmc,  $M_{\rm BH} < 5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ , Yao+ (2024) SWIFT J2058.4,  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 10^{4-6} M_{\odot}$ , Pasham+ (2015) Swift 1644,  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 10^{5-6} M_{\odot}$ , Burrows+ (2011)

5

10<sup>3</sup>



eRASSt J045650.3,  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 10^{6-7} M_{\odot}$ , Liu+ (2023)

Partial

TDEs



Radio emission detected in the plateau phase

AT 2018fyk,  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 10^{7.4 \pm 0.4} M_{\odot}$ , Wevers+ (2021) trest  $\approx 500$  days  $10^{44}$ **PROSITA** XMM3 Chandr XMM4 <sup>1</sup> دە Luminosity (erg s XMM2 **TMMX**  $10^{41}$ Swift/XRT (0.3-10 keV) Swift/UVW1 XMM (0.3-10 keV) Chandra (0.3-10 keV) eROSITA (0.3-10 keV)  $10^{40}$ 500 750 1500 250 1000 1250 Time (MJD - 58369.2)

No radio emission detected





eRASSt J045650.3,  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 10^{6-7} M_{\odot}$ , Liu+ (2023)

Partial

TDEs



Radio emission detected in the plateau phase





AT 2021ehb,  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 10^{7.03 \pm 0.22} M_{\odot}$  Yao+ (2022)

?

TDEs

trest  $\approx 320/370$  days



No radio emission detected

AT 2020ksf,  $M_{\rm BH} \sim 10^{6.1 \pm 0.4} M_{\odot}$ , Wevers+ (2024)

Radio emission detected after 230 days



## Plausible explanations for the rapid drop-off







Esin+ (1997)

## AT 2019avd: Multi-wavelength emission





Including seven telescopes from radio to soft X-ray:

- Radio: VLA & VLBA;
- Infrared: WISE;
- Optical/UV: ZTF & UVOT;
- X-ray: NICER/XRT/Chandra.



## AT 2019avd: Super-Eddington luminosity





### AT 2019avd: Rapid X-ray dimming and spectral hardening





### AT 2019avd: X-ray variability across different timescales

#### ~minutes











### AT 2019avd: a plausible explanation for the high variability



rms increasing with energy



## Summary

- luminosity decreases;
- accretion disk;
- constant while the luminosity decreases by over one order of magnitude.

### State transition in TDEs: soft-to-hard or super- to sub-critical?

• A rapid drop in X-rays occurs ~225 days after the peak of the flare, followed by a soft-to-hard transition when the luminosity decreases down to 0.01  $L_{Edd}$ , and by the possible ejection of a optically-thick radio outflow;

• The softer-when-brighter relation has been observed throughout the flare: the spectrum hardens as the

• The fractional rms amplitude is high with an average of 43% and its evolution is related to spectral state; The variability may be attributed to some clumpy outflows intercepting with the X-ray emission from the

• A soft excess has been detected at least in the relatively soft state, whose temperature remains more or less



### AT 2019avd: Super- to sub-Eddington accretion regimes

The properties of AT 2019avd offer a unique window into both super- and sub-Eddington accretion processes around SMBHs and offer compelling evidence for the mass-scale invariance of accretion around black holes.







## AT 2019avd: Gaseous environments

The optical emission likely comes from UV reprocessing by a gas at a distance of 0.01–0.03 pc



Time lags: discrete correlation function plus bootstrapping technique.

Considering only the light-travel time, the timescale of  $\tau_{r-UV}$  or  $\tau_{g-UV}$  corresponds to a distance of  $4.4 - 8.7 \times 10^{17}$  cm, which is more than four orders of magnitude larger than the circularized debris disc.



