



# IXPE Highly Significant Detection of Polarization in Scorpius X-1

Fabio La Monaca on behalf of the IXPE Science Team fabio.lamonaca@inaf.it

INAF-IAPS, Rome, Italy

La Monaca F., Di Marco A., Poutanen, J. et al. ApJL 960, L11, 2024 preprint arXiv:2311.06359

31 July 2024

#### **About Scorpius X-1**





[Motta & Fender, MNRAS, 483:3686 (2019)]

- First extra-solar X-ray source discovered and the brightest in the X-ray sky
- $\blacksquare$  NS-LMXB with peak luminosity near the Eddington-limit for a  $1.4\,M_{\odot}$
- First X-ray binary where radio emission was detected:
  - VLBI observations spatially resolved radio jet at a position angle of ~54° (from north to east), deriving the inclination of the system: 44° ± 6° (Fomalont et al., 2001)
- This is a prototype for Z-sources: usually divided in Sco-like and Cyg-like
- An ideal candidate to **attempt to measure X-ray polarization**: OSO-8 satellite (Long et al., 1979) and PolarLight (Long et al., 2022)

# X-ray polarization of Sco X-1 before IXPE

- Polarization can help to study "corona" geometry
  - Accretion disk PA expected parallel to the disk and perpendicular to the radio-jet
  - SL/BL PA expected parallel or perpendicular to the disk depending on τ (Sunyaev & Titarchuk, 1985; Tomaru+ 2024)
- In 1977, OSO-8 (Long et al. 1979) obtained a low-significance detection at 2.6 keV and at 5.2 keV (PD =  $1.3\%\pm 0.4\%$  and PA = $57^{\circ}\pm 6^{\circ}$  at  $\sim 3\sigma$  CL)
- In 2022, PolarLight (Long et al., 2022) obtained hints of variations of polarization with the energy and the source flux:
  - ⇒ a  $5\sigma$  detection (PD ~4% ) only in the 4–8 keV energy band and when the flux was high with a PA aligned with the radio jet
- Both these observations were performed with long exposure (~15 d for OSO-8 and ~322 d for PolarLight), and none of them could clearly distinguish the source state









# Sco X-1: observation campaign (28-29 August 2023)





■ Flaring activity highlighted in dark gray



- NuSTAR Color-Color diagram: observation mainly in SA with short periods in FB
- NICER timing analysis: observed transient QPO at ~6 Hz compatible with SA

# Sco X–1: polarization I







 Polarization for the flaring branch and non-flaring are compatible within 90% CL; thus, the analysis can be carried out on the entire observation

- Secure detection of polarization in 3-8 keV
  - probability of obtaining such polarization in the case of an unpolarized source is  $6 \times 10^{-12}$ , corresponding to a detection significance of  $\sim$ 7 $\sigma$  CL.

### Sco X–1: polarization II





#### ■ No evidence of PD or PA variation with energy

■ All contours in the different 1 keV energy bins are compatible at 68% CL

# Sco X-1: spectral model





 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Presence of a clear broad} \\ \mbox{Fe line at $\sim$6.7 keV} \\ \mbox{observed in the data as a} \\ \mbox{reflection feature} \end{array}$ 

- Spectral joint fit:
  - ➡ NICER (1.5–12 keV) with SCORPEON background
  - ➡ NuSTAR (3-40 keV)
  - Insight-HXMT Medium Energy (ME) (8–20 and 23–30 keV) and High Energy (HE) telescopes (30–40 keV);
     Insight-HXMT Low Energy (LE) excluded from the analysis due to a reported calibration issue
  - ➡ IXPE (2–8 keV)
- **Fit the continuum** with:
  - tbabs\*(diskbb+nthcomp)
- $N_H = 0.15 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
- nthcomp has inp\_type=0 corresponding to hot electrons Compton upscattering seed photons distributed as a blackbody, e.g., from the neutron star SL/BL



| Component                     | Parameter  | Continuum                               | Model A            |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| tbabs                         | $N_{\rm H}~(\times 10^{22}~{\rm cm}^{-2})$                     | $(\times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ 0.15 |                    |  |  |  |  |
| diskbb                        | $kT_{in}$ (keV)  | $0.692 \pm 0.004$                       | $0.683 \pm 0.011$  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | norm $\left(\left[R_{in} / D_{10}\right]^2 \cos \theta\right)$ | 25500 ± 700                             | $25500 \pm 1400$   |  |  |  |  |
|                               | $R_{in}$ (km)  | $40.1 \pm 1.1$                          | $40.1 \pm 2$       |  |  |  |  |
| nthcomp                       | Г  | $2.78 \pm 0.02$                         | $2.58 \pm 0.02$    |  |  |  |  |
|                               | $\tau$   | $5.95 \pm 0.04$                         | $6.70 \pm 0.05$    |  |  |  |  |
|                               | $kT_{e}$ (keV)   | $3.41 \pm 0.03$                         | $3.21 \pm 0.03$    |  |  |  |  |
|                               | $kT_{\rm bb}$ (keV)  | $1.148 \pm 0.008$                       | $1.08 \pm 0.01$    |  |  |  |  |
|                               | norm   | $6.34 \pm 0.05$                         | $6.94 \pm 0.16$    |  |  |  |  |
| gauss                         | $E_{\text{line}}$ (keV)  | -                                       | $6.72 \pm 0.02$    |  |  |  |  |
|                               | $\sigma$ (keV)   | -                                       | $0.45 \pm 0.03$    |  |  |  |  |
|                               | norm (photon cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ )                            | -                                       | $0.139 \pm 0.009$  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | Equivalent width (eV)  | -                                       | $66.836 \pm 0.014$ |  |  |  |  |
|                               | $\chi^2/{ m dof}$  | 5365/2890 = 1.9                         | 3033/2887 = 1.05   |  |  |  |  |
| Photon flux ratios in 2–8 keV |  |   |                    |  |  |  |  |
|                               | $F_{\rm diskbb}/F_{\rm tot}$                                   |   | 0.21               |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{\rm nthcomp}/F_{\rm tot}$ |  | 0.77                                    | 0.78               |  |  |  |  |
|                               | $F_{\rm gauss}/F_{\rm tot}$                                    | -                                       | 0.01               |  |  |  |  |



 Flux dominated by the Comptonization component tbabs\*(gaussian+(diskbb+nthcomp)\*polconst):

• PD = 
$$1.0\% \pm 0.2\%$$
 and PA =  $8^\circ \pm 6^\circ$ 

- ⇒  $\chi^2/dof = 1371/1341 = 1.02$
- tbabs\*(gaussian+(diskbb+nthcomp)\*pollin):
  - no polarization varying linearly with energy: PD and PA slopes compatible with zero





The iron line is assumed to be unpolarized

Table 4. Best-fit parameters for the spectro-polarimetric analysis with the model polconst\*diskbb+polconst\*nthcomp+gauss.

| Component |                   | Value            |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------|
| diskbb    | PD (%)            | < 3.2            |
|           | PA (deg)          |                  |
| nthcomp   | PD (%)            | $1.3\pm0.4$      |
|           | PA (deg)          | $14\pm 8$        |
|           | $\chi^2/{ m dof}$ | 1329/1337 = 0.99 |

NOTE—Errors are at 90% CL.



- Model C: tbabs\*(diskbb+nthcomp+relxillNS)
  - ➡ inclination frozen at 44° (Fomalont et al., 2001)
  - ➡ frozen Fe abundance and log N frozen to the maximum; similar effect seen for other bright sources, e.g. Cyg X-1 (Tomsick et al. ApJ, 855, 3, 2018)
  - $\blacktriangleright$  relative flux for the reflection component at level of 10%
- Different constant polarization to each spectral component:

|        | Disk   |      | Comptonization |   | Reflection   |                      |
|--------|--------|------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------------|
| Case A | < 1.9% | -    | < 8.2%         | - | < 66%        | —                    |
| Case B | 1.1%   | -40° | 0%             | - | $14\%\pm5\%$ | $15^\circ\pm7^\circ$ |

- upper limits and errors at 90% CL
- A clear polarimetric disentanglement of the three spectral components is not possible with the present data

# Sco X-1: IXPE polarization results

- **Highly significant polarization** in 2–8 keV energy band (PD=1.0%±0.2% and PA=8°±6°)
- No evidence of PD or PA variation with the energy: the constant PD, even if smaller than expectations, can be compatible with a sandwich corona geometry (Poutanen et al., ApJL 949:L10, 2023)
- PD of the disk compatible with expectations from an electron-scattering dominated optically thick accretion disk (Chandrasekhar, 1960) that is ~1% for an inclination ~44°
- PD of the Comptonized component of  $1.3\% \pm 0.4\%$ , compatible with the scenario of a coplanar corona having Thomson optical depth  $\tau_{\rm T}$ ~7 and  $kT_{\rm e}$ ~3 keV as in Sunyaev & Titarchuk, 1985
- PD of the reflection component compatible with the predicted polarization values for a Compton-reflected spectrum from cold matter (Matt et al., 1993 and Poutanen et al., 1996).







# Sco X-1: polarization angle VS radio-jet direction

- The present Sco X-1 measurement shows a PA rotated of ~ 46° with respect to the radio-jet position angle. In contrast with:
  - previous OSO-8 and PolarLight attempts performed with long exposure times without branch selection/identification limiting their usefulness in the comparison
  - ➡ IXPE results for Cyg X-2 (Farinelli+ 2023), Cyg X-1 (Krawczynski+ 2022)



- The IXPE measured PA may be due to relativistic precession or HR variations:
  - relativistic precession: radio-jet observed in few sources to change up to 36° on short timescales (Miller-Jones+ 2019) likely related to the relativistic Lense-Thirring precession of the accretion disk; Observed also for NS: evidence of precession of the radio-jet in Cir X-1 (F. Cowie, in preparation); this effect can produce a rotation of the PA if aligned with radio-jet with respect to previous measurements
  - variation of the corona geometry in the different states of the source with PA variations up to ~50°: see e.g., Cir X-1 (Rankin+ 2023) and GX 13+1 (Bobrikova+ 2024)
  - ▶ new Sco X-1 observations by IXPE could try to answer this open question

■ More details: La Monaca F., Di Marco A., Poutanen, J. et al. (ApJL 960, L11, 2024)

