Challenges and opportunities in fitting high-resolution spectra

Anna Ogorzałek

NASA GSFC, UMD

Inspired by discussions with numerous colleagues at Goddard and elsewhere















- If you are fitting one/two/three lines, you are throwing out <u>a lot</u> of information
 - If you infer physical conditions from individual lines, likely these conditions also result in the presence or lack of presence of other lines!
 - Using models over the whole band naturally takes into account all information in the data

- Be open to new physics!
 - What process ionized the gas?
 - Is the gas in equilibrium?
 - Is the electron distribution Maxwellian?
 - Are lines Gaussian?
 - 0 ...

• Think about all gas that is in your line of sight - be prepared for unexpected!



• Think about all gas that is in your line of sight - be prepared for unexpected!



- Absorption by different phases of the Milky Way ISM
- Dust absorption (excellent new models!)
- Charge exchange

• Harder to model: radiative transfer















Towards high-dim spaces

- High spectral resolution data gives us more predictive power, so we can test more advanced models
- This means that models will have more parameters: ~10s to ~100s
- How do we find best fit?

Optimization problem

• Finding the maximum likelihood (or minimum of a fit statistic)



Optimization problem

- If using steepest descent
 - Cover the parameters space well in all dimensions
 - Need to repeat fitting many, many times -> high performance computing



Video by T. Morgan-Wall

Optimization problem

- Can we have better optimization algorithms?
 - Note: MCMC is NOT an optimization algorithm















Emission model with Solar abundances as a parameter



Emission model with Solar abundances as a parameter

Same model with individual elemental abundances free to vary



Emission model with Solar abundances as a parameter

Same model with individual elemental abundances free to vary Adding another emission model

Example 1

Emission model with Solar abundances as a parameter Which step is more justified

given the data?

Same model with individual elemental abundances free to vary Adding another emission model





1 emission component 2 emission components

3 emission components

At which point am I overfitting the data?

How to select models

- We are biased creatures cannot see this by eye
- Likelihood/C-stat/chi^2 DO NOT answer any of these questions!
 - These fit statistic should be only compared for the same data and the same model to find the best fitting parameters
 - Lower C-stat/higher likelihood does not mean that the model is better - parameter spaces are different!

How to select models

• Frequentist approach • Likelihood ratio test

Bayesian approach
 O Bayes factor

How to select models

• Frequentist approach

- need to apply proper statistic for a given situation, sometimes this is hard
- o + computationally efficient
- Bayesian approach
 - + easier conceptually
 - + does not depend on the model
 - +works for non-nested models
 - - more computationally expensive













Statistical uncertainties

- Errors may be difficult to compute in highly dimensional parameters spaces
- Monte Carlo Markov Chains (MCMC) are excellent at calculating posterior distributions
 - But use MCMC after you already found your best fit!

Statistical uncertainties

- Advantages of using posterior distributions over simple errors:
 - More meaningful credible intervals
 not all measurements are Gaussian!
 - Correct error propagation, especially upper/lower limits
 - Straightforward treatment of correlated parameters - can reduce uncertainties on derived measurements!



Statistical uncertainties

- Fixing parameters biases your measurements and artificially lowers your uncertainties

 Try to never do this, even if the parameter is
 - unconstrained
 - If you are fixing a parameter, take into account the uncertainty



Systematic uncertainties

- Calibration accuracy
- Atomic data underlying models
 - Ideally, we know distributions on these and take them into account in our analysis

ogoann@umd.edu

Summary

- Build good models: take advantage of all data, be open to new physics, think about all line of sight contributors
- Make sure to find the true best fit
- Robustly select models, be agnostic
- Consider MCMC/posteriors for error computation
- Don't fix parameters
- Acknowledge and estimate systematic effects
- Make high performance computing part of your workflow (for optimization, model selection, computing errors)
- Make sure our software is parallelizable
- Be open to using software you're not used to!



Questions? (Find me during coffee breaks!)

What else should we worry about?

ogoann@umd.edu

