



# **Xtend overview**

#### Hiromasa Suzuki (Konan-U, JP) on behalf of the XRISM/Xtend team



This work was supported by JSPS Core-to-Core Program (grant number: JPJSCCA20220002).



### **XRISM Xtend team**



#### X-Ray Imaging and Spectroscopy Mission

- Tohoku Gakuin University
  H. Murakami
- Tokyo University of Science
  S. B. Kobayashi, T. Kohmura
- The University of Tokyo K. Hagino
- Kanto Gakuin University
  H. Nakajima (sub-Pl)
- ISAS/JAXA

T. Yoneyama, T. Yoshida, **H. Tomida (Instrument Manager)**, Y. Maeda, M. Ishida

- Shizuoka University H. Uchiyama
- Nagoya University
  K. Yamaoka
- Kyoto University
  H. Uchida, T. G. Tsuru

#### Students

Y. Aoki, Y. Kanemaru, K. Miyazaki, K. Kusunoki, Y. Otsuka, H. Yokosu, W. Yonemaru, S. Nakamura, T. Kamei, K. Asakura, M. Yoshimoto, Y. Ode, J. Sato, T. Hakamata, M. Aoyagi, S. Tsunomachi, T. Doi, D. Aoki, K. Fujisawa, Y. Shimizu, D. Hatanaka, K. Ichikawa, H. Nakano, R. Azuma

#### Advisors

J. S. Hiraga, M. Ozaki, T. Dotani, H. Tsunemi

- Nara University of Education M. Nobukawa
- Kindai University K. K. Nobukawa
- Osaka University
  H. Noda, H. Matsumoto, H. Odaka,
  K. Hayashida
- Konan University
  H. Suzuki, T. Tanaka
- University of Miyazaki
  K. Mori (PI), M. Yamauchi, I. Hatsukade
- NASA's GSFC
  T. Okajima, Y. Soong, T. Hayashi



, 14 institutes > 50 members



### **XRISM Xtend**

XRISM white paper, 2020



Xtend = XMA (X-ray Mirror Assembly) + SXI (Soft X-ray Imager)



- XMA : Wolter type I mirror optics
  - ✓ similar to Hitomi SXT

#### • SXI : X-ray CCDs

- √similar to Hitomi SXI √fully-depleted back-illuminated P-channel CCD
- Energy range : 0.4–13 keV
- FoV : 38' × 38'
- Energy resolution : < 200 eV @5.9 keV</li>
- Ang. resolution : < 1.7' (Half Power Diameter)



### XRISM Xtend

XRISM white paper, 2020



Xtend = XMA (X-ray Mirror Assembly) + SXI (Soft X-ray Imager)



- XMA : Wolter type I mirror optics
  - ✓ similar to Hitomi SXT
- SXI : X-ray CCDs
  - ✓ similar to Hitomi SXI √fully-depleted back-illuminated P-channel CCD
- Energy range : 0.4–13 keV
- FoV : 38' × 38'
- Energy resolution : < 200 eV @5.9 keV</li>
- Ang. resolution : < 1.7' (Half Power Diameter)







#### x-kay imaging and spectroscopy mission

- Monitor large area around Resolve FoV
  - → Clarify contribution of sources around target
    - sky background
    - contribution of other bright sources
- Xtend itself will produce scientific achievements
  - CCDs' good energy resolution
  - Low & stable detector background similar to Suzaku XIS/Hitomi SXI
  - 2x larger FoV than XMM-Newton





### **CCDs of SXI**

Tanaka et al. 2018



\_

- Frame exposure time: 0.06–3.96 sec (depends on obs. modes)
- Charge Injection (CI) technique:
  - give artificial charges to minimize charge transfer inefficiency
  - similar to Suzaku XIS/Hitomi SXI
- Mind the gaps between CCDs!!
  - 40"–60"
  - · Point sources may fall into the gaps







#### **Observations**

Tanaka et al. 2018



X-Ray Imaging and Spectroscopy Mission

#### • Observation modes

Mode	Region size	Frame exposure	Time resolution	Live time fraction	Purpose
Full window	1	4.0 sec	4.0 sec	~1	General
1/8 window	1/8	0.46 sec	0.46 sec	~1	Bright/variable sources (against pile-up, etc.)
1/8 window + 0.1-s burst	1/8	0.06 sec	0.06 sec	0.13	Bright/variable sources (against pile-up, etc.)
0.1-s burst	1	0.06 sec	0.06 sec	0.015	Crab mode, not for users

\* 1/8 win. & win.+burst: only applied to CCDs 1 & 2 (i.e., CCDs 3 & 4 are Full win.)





### **Observations**



- Observation efficiency in low earth orbit
  - Earth occultation & day earth give dead times (~50%)
- Degradation of CCDs
  - Increasing Charge Transfer Inefficiency, bad pixels due to radiation
  - Increasing contamination due to outgas
    - = lower quantum efficiencies in low energies



2023.2.22 H. Suzuki@XRISM data analysis workshop



## **Observing bright point sources**

<u> Tamba et al. 2022</u>

- Consider pile-up of photons
- In Xtend, this happens if sources brighter than ~1 mCrab
- Choose suitable obs. mode to avoid pile-up
  - $\sim 1/8$  photons if 1/8 window mode,  $\sim 1/70$  if window-burst mode
- Pile-up estimator will be provided to observers
  - i.e., choose target's flux & power-law index  $\rightarrow$  check pile-up



### **Observing extended sources**

- Consider... •
  - Bright sources around the target
  - Sky / detector backgrounds affect more than for point sources

2023.2.22 H. Suzuki@XRISM data analysis workshop







1.5 keV 6.4 keV

11.1 keV

ΓÜ

Point spread function

Xtend-XMA



### **Analysis procedure**



Refer to <u>Hitomi Analysis Guide</u>, <u>Step-by-Step guide</u> Will be updated for XRISM

- Similar to Suzaku XIS & Hitomi SXI
- 1. Reprocess data with latest CALDB (xapipeline, xtdpipeline)
- 2. Extract image, spectrum, light curve (xselect, fselect, astropy, etc.) with more filtering if needed (good time intervals, attitudes, etc.)
- 3. Make response files for spectral studies (xtdrmf, xaexpmap, xrtraytrace, xaarfgen)
- 4. Other procedures (barycen, detector background (xtdnxbgen), etc.)
- 5. Enjoy imaging/spectral/timing studies!!



## Analyzing bright point sources



- If so bright that pile-up affects data...
  - first try to avoid this!! but sometimes need good statistics, data might unluckily affected by solar flares, ...
  - conventional "core exclusion" method still is a good way
  - simulator-based method is another option, but will not generally provided to users Tamba et al. 2022



2023.2.22 H. Suzuki@XRISM data analysis workshop





- Both source & background should be stable... but check light curves!!
- Detector background (similar to Suzaku XIS/Hitomi SXI)
  - → Following pages
- Sky background
  - Many contribute, many depends on sky coordinates & time
  - Local Hot Bubble/Foreground Emission e.g., <u>Snowden et al. 1998; Kuntz & Snowden 2000;</u> Yoshino et al. 2009; <u>Masui et al. 2009; Ueda et al. 2022</u>
  - Milky Way Halo/Transabsorption Emission
  - e.g., <u>Kuntz & Snowden 2000</u>; <u>Yoshino et al. 2009</u>; <u>Masui et al. 20</u>
    Solar Wind Charge eXchange e.g., <u>Cravens et al. 2001</u>; <u>Koutroumpa et al. 2007</u>
  - Near Galactic center e.g., Uchiyama et al. 2013; Koyama 2018; Nobukawa & Koyama 2021
    - Galactic Ridge X-ray Emission
    - Galactic Center X-ray Emission
    - . . .
  - Cosmic X-ray Background e.g., Kuntz & Snowden 2000; Kushino et al. 2002





- Due to cosmic ray particles
  - Direct hits & stimulate fluorescence
  - Affect if left after event selection
- Dependence on Cutoff Rigidity
  <u>Nakajima et al. 2018</u>
  - Total flux varies w/o changing spectral shape
  - Note on year-scale movement of Cutoff Rigidity
- Depends on detector coordinates along readout direction Nakajima et al. 2018
- Effect of solar cycle almost ignorable



2023.2.22 H. Suzuki@XRISM data analysis workshop



### **Detector background**



- Background spectra generating tool (xtdnxbgen)
- Use C-stat/W-stat in spectral studies XSPEC manual
- W-stat or "Source & Background" better than "Source Background"



## Comparison of detector background

**RismCompare to other satellite missions** 

LL of ASCA, Suzaku, XMM, Chandra, Hitomi have been considered

suppressed stray light, background, contamination,

CCDs operated at lower temperature

#### $10^{-4}$ XIS-BI Nakajima et al. 2018 XMM MOS1 10-5 Chandra, XMM-Newton: Flux GPS: medium earth highly elliptical orbit $10^{-6}$ orbit (~2x10<sup>4</sup> km) $(\sim 10^4 - 10^5 \text{ km})$ Hitomi SX XRISM, Suzaku, uzaku XIS–FI NuSTAR, ISS: $10^{-7}$ ASCA SIS0 low earth orbit SRG/eROSITA: L2 CXB (~500 km) $10^{-8}$ 2 5 10 20 Energy (keV) XMM MOS detector background $\operatorname{Si}$ Fe Cr

Kuntz & Snowden 2008

 $10^{-3}$ 

# XRISM Compare to other satellite mission

- Detector response
  - basically as good as other X-ray CCDs on satellites
  - moderate energy resolutions at low energies





### Some other notes



- Transient source search
  - observers' option at proposal submission (yes/no)
  - if yes, XRISM team members see observation data before passed to observers, to search for transient sources
  - if a transient found, XRISM team members post a telegram







#### When observing / analyzing with Xtend, consider...

- CCD gaps (40–60")
- Moderate energy resolution at low energies
- 3 observation modes (full win., 1/8 win., 1/8 win+burst)
- ~50% observation efficiency due to low earth orbit
- Ang. resolution ~1.5' (HPD)→care about surrounding sources
- Sky/detector background
  - coordinate (sky/detector) / time dependence
  - in spectral studies, use W-stat or Source & background simul. modeling w/ C-stat
- Pile-up for bright point sources
  - try to avoid pile-up
  - pile-up estimator will be provided